TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

BE IT KNOWN THAT I, DAVID MARK BLUNT, a citizen of
Australia, residing in South Melbourne 3205, Victoria, in
the Country of Australia, have invented a new and useful

5 improvement in

SYNCHRONOUS AVERAGING OF EPICYCLIC SUN GEAR VIBRATION

SYNCHRONOUS AVERAGING OF EPICYCLIC
SUN GEAR VIBRATION

5

This application claims priority from provisional application Serial No.60/442,282, filed January 24, 2003.

This invention relates generally to the

10   processing of vibration data obtained from epicyclic gear trains, and more particularly to a method of performing synchronous averaging of sun gear vibration or vibrations.

The multiplicity of identical planet gears in

15   an epicyclic gearbox, combined with the fact that the planet gear axes move in relation to the gearbox casing, make traditional vibration analysis techniques ineffective in the diagnosis of planet gear faults. The inability to effectively diagnose faults in epicyclic

20   gearboxes is of particular concern in helicopters, most of which use epicyclic reduction gears as the final drive to the main rotor.

As most helicopter transmissions, and many aircraft propeller reduction gearboxes, utilize at least

1

one epicyclic gear train, and since this forms a non-redundant critical part of the drive to the main rotor, or propeller it is important to have advanced techniques and tools to assess the conditions of these components. One such tool is vibration analysis. However, epicyclic gear train vibrations are difficult to analyze. Not only are there multiple planet gears producing similar vibrations, but there are multiple and time-varying vibration transmission paths from the gear mesh points to any vibration transducers mounted on the gearbox housing.

Previous work resulted in the development of a signal processing algorithm that "separated" the vibration from individual planet gears in an epicyclic gear train. This algorithm proved to be more effective at detecting faults in planetary gears than earlier planet-separation algorithms, or the ordinary synchronous averaging process, but it was not extended to the analysis of sun gear vibration at that stage. There is need for sun gear averaging method or methods that utilize a planet separation algorithm or technique to improve the detection of sun gear faults.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is a major object of the invention to provide an improved method of detection of sun gear faults. Basically, the technique computes "separated" averages of the sun gear vibration "seen" through each planet, which are then phase shifted to account for their difference in meshing positions and recombined (averaged) to produce a modified sun gear average. With separation of the vibration from each planet, the technique produces a modified sun gear average that represents the average meshing behavior of the sun gear seen through a single "average" planet. In contrast, an ordinary sun gear average computed without planet separation represents the average meshing behavior of the sun gear seen through all planets simultaneously (with the accompanying summation and cancellation of certain vibration components).

Steps of the new and preferred method include:

a)   detecting sun gear vibrations transmitted through each planet gear,

b)   computing separated averages of such detected vibrations,

c) phase shifting said averages to account for the differences in gear meshing positions,

d) and re-combining said phase shifted averages to produce a modified average value of the sun gear vibration.

As will be seen, a detection transducer is typically provided and operated on the ring gear, and sun gear vibration is transmitted to the transducer through the individual planet gears, and the expected sun gear vibration signal detected by the transducer is the sum of the sun gear vibration with each planet multiplied by the individual planet pass modulation. The measured transducer signal is separated into the components from each planet by applying a filter consisting of a window function for each planet to the measured signal. Sun gear averages are computed from each of these separated signals starting at the same instant in time. One average is selected as a reference, and the remaining averages are phase shifted by the angle between its planet and the reference planet so that the beginning of each phase shifted average starts with the same sun gear tooth in mesh with each planet.

The new technique was applied to vibration data collected from sun gear seeded-fault tests in both a simple industrial three-planet epicyclic gearbox, and a far more complex helicopter gearbox incorporating a five-planet epicyclic gear train. The technique significantly improved the detection of an isolated (single tooth) sun gear fault in both gearboxes. In both cases the fault condition index was increased by up to 66%. This increase was of more consequence for the helicopter gearbox, where the index was improved from around the warning level of 3.5, and hence a marginal level of fault detection using synchronous averaging, to well over the danger level of 4.5 using the new technique. It also allowed the fault to be detected at lower torques, and improved the ability to use one ring-gear accelerometer signal to confirm the detection of the fault with another ring-gear accelerometer. Accordingly, the new sun gear averaging technique significantly improves the condition assessment of epicyclic gear trains using vibration analysis.

These and other objects and advantages of the invention, as well as the details of an illustrative embodiments, will be more fully understood from the following specification and drawings, in which:

5

DRAWING DESCRIPTION

Fig. 1.1 is a view of an epicyclic gear train, with vibration detector;

Figs. 1.2 a) and b) are graphs of window functions;

Fig. 2.1 is a view of an epicyclic gear train, showing phase shifting;

Fig. 3.1 is a block diagram showing processing of detected vibration;

Fig. 4.1 is an exploded view of a Brevini gearbox;

Figs. 4.2 (a)---(f) are graphs;

Figs. 4.3 (a)---(f) are graphs;

Figs. 4.4 (a)---(f) are graphs;

Figs. 4.5 (a)---(f) are graphs;

Figs. 4.6 (a)---(f) are graphs;

Figs. 4.7 (a)---(f) are graphs;

Figs. 4.8 (a)---(f) are graphs;

Figs. 4.9 (a)---(f) are graphs;

Fig. 4.10 is a perspective and schematic view of a drive train for a helicopter employing epicyclic gearing;

Fig. 4.11 is a perspective view of a sun gear, with one-third of a tooth removed;

Fig. 4.12 is a perspective view of a transmission, showing sensor locations;

Fig. 4.13 (a) and (b) are graphs of window functions;

Fig. 14.14 (a) and (b) are graphs plotting residual Kurtosis values as a function of engine torque;

Figs. 4.15 (a)---(f) are graphs as labeled;

Figs. 4.16 (a)---(f) are graphs as labeled;

Figs. 4.17 (a)---(f) are graphs as labeled;

Figs. 4.18 (a)---(f) are graphs, as labeled;

Figs. 4.19 (a)---(f) are graphs, as labeled;

Figs. 4.20 (a)---(f) are graphs, as labeled;

Figs. 4.21 (a)---(f) are graphs, as labeled;

Figs. 4.22 (a)---(f) are graphs, as labeled;

Figs. 4.23 (a)---(f) are graphs, as labeled;

Figs. 4.24 (a)---(f) are graphs, as labeled;

Figs. 4.25 (a)---(f) are graphs, as labeled;

Figs. 4.26 (a)---(f) are graphs, as labeled;

Figs. 4.27 (a)---(f) are graphs, as labeled; and

Figs. 4.28 (a)---(f) are graphs, as labeled.

INTRODUCTION

As most helicopter transmissions, and many aircraft propeller reduction gearboxes, utilize at least one epicyclic gear train, and this forms a non-redundant critical part of the drive to the main rotor, or propeller, it is important to have advanced techniques and tools to assess the condition of these components. One such tool is vibration analysis. However, epicyclic gear train vibrations are difficult to analyse. Not only are there multiple planet gears producing similar vibrations, but there are multiple and time-varying vibration transmission paths from the gear mesh points to any vibration transducer mounted on the gearbox housing.

Previous work at DSTO by Forrester (1, 2 & 3) resulted in the development of an algorithm that produces "separated" synchronous vibration averages of the individual planet gears in an epicyclic gear train. This is achieved by applying a window function to be vibration signal from a transducer mounted on the ring gear. The function weights the signal according to the azimuth of the planet gear relative to the transducer location. The windowed vibration signal is then

synchronously averaged in the usual way. (However, note that in order for the separated averages to be uniformly weighted, the number of periods averaged must be a whole multiple of the number of teeth on the ring gear so that there are a whole number of cycles of both the window function and the planet gear rotation). Separation of the planet gear averages is achieved by offsetting the window function by the angular spacing between the planet gears. In effect, this process is attempting to use the planet-pass modulation to separate the vibration from each planet. Two window functions that have been found to perform well are:

$$w_{power}(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\cos(2\pi f_c t)\right)^{P-1} \quad (1), \qquad w_{sum}(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{P-1}\cos(2\pi m f_c t) \qquad (2)$$

where f_c is the rational frequency of the planet carrier, and P is the number of planets (1). An example of an epicyclic gear train with three planets is shown in Figure 1.1, and the planet-separation window functions for the gear train are shown in Figure 1.2. Note that the summation of the individual planet window functions is a constant, and therefore the sum of the separated averages will be identical to the ordinary (non-

9

separated) synchronous average multiplied by that
constant.

Forrester's algorithm proved to be more
effective at detecting faults in planetary gears than
earlier planet-separation algorithms, or the ordinary
synchronous averaging process (1, 4, 5 & 6), but it was
not extended to the analysis of sun gear vibration at
that stage. The invention disclosed herein concerns a
new sun gear averaging technique that utilizes the
planet-separation algorithm to improve the detection of
localized sun gear faults. The technique computes
"separated" averages of the sun gear vibration "seen"
through each planet, which are then phase shifted to
account for their difference in meshing positions and
recombined (averaged) to produce a modified sun gear
average. Ideally, with complete separation of the
vibration from each planet, the technique produces a
modified sun gear average that represents the average
meshing behavior of the sun gear seen through a <u>single</u>
"average" planet. In contrast, an ordinary sun gear
synchronous average computed without planet separation
represents the average meshing behavior of the sun gear
seen through <u>all</u> planets simultaneously (with the
accompanying summation and cancellation of certain

vibration components). However, as complete separation
is impossible in a operational gearbox due to:

    a)   the imperfect ability of the window function to
           make full use of the planet-pass modulation to
           separate the planet vibration signals, and

    b)   the many vibration transmission paths present
           that are not affected by the planet-pass
           modulation (e.g., through the sun gear shaft,
           bearings, support structure, and housing to the
           ring gear),

the new technique produces a modified sun gear average
that lies somewhere between the ideal case and an
ordinary sun gear average.

       To test the new technique it was applied to
vibration data collected from seeded sun gear fault
tests in both a simple industrial three-planet epicyclic
gearbox, and a far more complex helicopter gearbox
incorporating a five-planet epicyclic gear train.

2. PROCEDURE

       The new procedure or method for computing the
modified sun gear average contemplates one or more of
the following:

    1. Use the planet separation algorithm to compute
       the separated sun gear averages. This is almost

the same as using the algorithm to compute the separated planet gear averages, however the sun gear ratio is substituted for the planet gear ratio during the averaging process. The same separation window function is used as for separating the planet gear vibrations (i.e., the window function is still based on the planet-carrier position).

2. Phase shift the separated sun gear averages so that the mesh points align. For example, in a three planet gear train, Planet 2 must be shifted +120°, and Planet 3 must be shifted -120° (or +240°) to align both with Planet 1, as shown in Figure 2.1. Note that the sun gear meshes with the planets in the reverse order that they pass the transducer on the ring gear.

3. Combine (average) the aligned averages.

See for example Fig. 1.1 showing a vibration transducer 100 mounted on ring gear 101 of an epicyclic transmission 102. The latter also includes three planet gears 103 and a sun gear 104. The transducer operates as a detector to detect sun gear vibrations transmitted through each planet gear, as the sun gear rotates about axis 104a. Circuitry at 106 connected at 105 to 100

12

operates to compute separated averages of such detected vibrations. Circuitry at 108 connected at 107 to 106 operates to phase shift such averages, as disclosed herein, to account for differences in gear meshing positions. Circuitry at 110 connected at 109 to 108 operates to re-combine the phase shifted average values to produce a modified average value at 111 of sun gear vibrations, displayed or recorded at 112.

4. THEORY

The following is adapted from the theoretical development found in [1].

The meshing frequency, f_m, of an epicyclic gear train with a fixed ring gear is (refer to Figure 1.1).

$$f_m = N_r f_c = N_p \left(f_p + f_c \right) = N_s \left(f_s - f_c \right),$$ (3)

where N_r, N_p and N_s are the number of teeth on the ring, planet and sun gears respectively, and f_c f_p and f_s are the absolute rational frequencies of the planet carrier, planet and sun gears, respectively. The relative frequencies $(f_p + f_c)$ of the planet to the carrier, and $(f_s - f_c)$ of the sun to the carrier are:

$$f_p + f_c = f_c \frac{N_r}{N_p}, \text{ and} \tag{4}$$

$$f_s - f_c = f_c \frac{N_r}{N_s}. \tag{5}$$

5　　　　　　Ignoring secondary transmission paths, the sun

gear vibration is transmitted to the transducer mounted

on the ring gear through the individual planets. The

expected sun gear vibration signal measured by the

transducer will thus be the sum of the sun gear

10　　vibration with each planet multiplied by the individual

planet-pass modulations,

$$x_s(t) = \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} \alpha_p(t) v_{s,p}(t), \tag{6}$$

15　　where $\alpha_p(t)$ is the amplitude modulation due to planet p,

and $v_{s,p}(t)$ is the tooth meshing vibration of the sun

gear with planet p. Alternatively, this can be

expressed in the angle domain as

20　　
$$x_s(\theta) = \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} \alpha_p\left(\frac{N_s}{N_r}\theta\right) v_{s,p}(\theta), \tag{7}$$

where θ is the relative rotation of the sun with respect

to the planet carrier.

14

Ignoring any slight variation between the planets, the amplitude modulation function (planet-pass modulation), $\alpha_p(\varphi)$, will have the same form of all planets, differing only by a phase delay, $2\pi p/P$,

$$\alpha_p(\varphi) = a\left(\varphi - \frac{2\pi p}{P}\right),\tag{8}$$

where $\alpha(\varphi)$ is the planet-pass modulation function, and φ is the planet carrier angle. Since the amplitude modulation will repeat with the planet carrier rotation period, it can be expressed as a Fourier series,

$$a(\varphi) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_m e^{im\varphi},\tag{9}$$

where A_m are the Fourier series coefficients.

The method of extracting the representative vibrations of the sun gear "seen" through each planet (the "separated" sun gear averages) is to incorporate a selective (continuous) time filter into the averaging process. The filter proportionally divides the overall vibration signal into the estimated contributions from each planet. For each separated sun gear average,

15

$\bar{z}_{s,p}(\theta)$, the filter window, $w(\theta)$, is centred at the point at which the planet is adjacent to the transducer. Assuming that all the vibration that is not synchronous with the relative sun gear rotation will tend toward zero with the averaging process (7 & 8), the synchronous average taken over N periods of the relative sun gear rotation can be expressed as

$$\bar{z}_{s,p}(\theta) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} w\left(\frac{N_s}{N_r}(\theta+2\pi n) - \frac{2\pi p}{P}\right) x_s(\theta+2\pi n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} w\left(\frac{N_s}{N_r}(\theta+2\pi n) - \frac{2\pi p}{P}\right)\left[\sum_{k=0}^{P-1} a\left(\frac{N_s}{N_r}(\theta+2\pi n) - \frac{2\pi k}{P}\right) v_{s,k}(\theta+2\pi n)\right] \quad (10)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{P-1} \bar{v}_{s,k}(\theta)\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} w\left(\frac{N_s}{N_r}(\theta+2\pi n) - \frac{2\pi p}{P}\right) a\left(\frac{N_s}{N_r}(\theta+2\pi n) - \frac{2\pi k}{P}\right),$$

where $\bar{v}_{s,k}(\theta)$ is the mean vibration of the sun gear with planet k.

With careful selection of the window characteristics and the synchronous averaging parameters, the separation can be performed with a minimum of "leakage" of vibration from the other planets (note that even if the window completely separates the vibration of the sun gear with each planet, this will not totally eliminate the influence of the sun gear meshing with the other planets since there will still be transmission paths from those meshes through the sun

16

gear to the planet in question. However, because these transmission paths will be more indirect (i.e., the vibrations have to travel through the sun gear), they should be less significant.) no loss of vibration data,

5   and no distortion of the average. The three conditions that meet these criteria are:

a)   the separation window is periodic with the planet carrier rotation (i.e., it can be expressed as a Fourier series with

10   a fundamental frequency of f_c),

b)   the averaging is performed over the relative sun rotation period, and the number of periods averaged is a whole multiple of the number of teeth on the

15   ring gear, N_r, and

c)   the Fourier series representing the separation window has no terms at or above the planet-pass frequency (this is done to limit aliasing and prevent

20   distortion of the average) (i.e., $N_p \times f_c$), and is a real-valued, even function.

Under condition (a), the separation window can be expressed as a Fourier series

$$w(\varphi) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} W_l e^{il\varphi},$$

(11)

and Equation 10 becomes

$$\bar{z}_{s,p}(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{P-1} \bar{v}_{s,k}(\theta) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} W_l e^{il\left(\frac{N_s}{N_r}(\theta+2\pi n)-\frac{2\pi p}{P}\right)} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_m e^{im\left(\frac{N_s}{N_r}(\theta+2\pi n)-\frac{2\pi k}{P}\right)} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{P-1} \bar{v}_{s,k}(\theta) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} W_l A_m e^{i\left[(l+m)\frac{N_s}{N_r}(\theta+2\pi n)-(lp+mk)\frac{2\pi}{P}\right]} \right].$$

(12)

Under condition (b), that the number of periods averaged is a whole multiple of the number of teeth on the ring gear, jN_r where j is a whole number, and since the summation (or integration) of any sinusoid over a whole number of cycles will be zero, except where the frequency is zero, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{jN_r} \sum_{n=0}^{jN_r-1} e^{i\left((l+m)\frac{N_s}{N_r}(\theta+2\pi n)\right)} = 0, \quad m \neq -l$$

$$\frac{1}{jN_r} \sum_{n=0}^{jN_r-1} e^{i\left((l+m)\frac{N_s}{N_r}(\theta+2\pi n)\right)} = 1, \quad m = -l.$$

(13)

Equation 12 therefore becomes

$$\bar{z}_{s,p}(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{P-1} \bar{v}_{s,k}(\theta) \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} W_l A_{-l} e^{il(k-p)\frac{2\pi}{P}} . \tag{14}$$

5   Under the condition (c), that the Fourier coefficients $W_1 = 0$ for $1 \geq P$, Equation 14 becomes

$$\bar{z}_{s,p}(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{P-1} \bar{v}_{s,k}(\theta) \sum_{l=-(P-1)}^{P-1} W_l A_{-l} e^{il(k-p)\frac{2\pi}{P}} , \tag{15}$$

10   and if the separation window is further limited to be a real-valued even function (i.e., $W_1 = W_{-1}$, and is real), and if the amplitude modulation is also a real-valued even function, this can be reduced to

$$\bar{z}_{s,p}(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{P-1} \bar{v}_{s,k}(\theta) \left[W_0 A_0 + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{P-1} W_l A_l \cos\left(l(k-p)\frac{2\pi}{P} \right) \right]. \tag{16}$$

15

         Note that from Equation 15, the summation of the separated averages, $\bar{z}_{s,p}(\theta)$, can be shown to be equal to the ordinary sun gear average, $\bar{x}_s(\theta)$,

20   multiplied by a constant, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p=0}^{P-1} \bar{z}_{s,p}(\theta) &= \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} \sum_{k=0}^{P-1} \bar{v}_{s,k}(\theta) \sum_{l=-(P-1)}^{P-1} W_l A_{-l} e^{il(k-p)\frac{2\pi}{P}} \\
&= P W_0 A_0 \sum_{k=0}^{P-1} \bar{v}_{s,k}(\theta) \\
&= P W_0 \bar{x}_s(\theta),
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and the separation process is therefore reversible.

As an illustrative example, if P = 3, the first separated sun gear average, using Equation 16, becomes

$$\bar{z}_{s,0}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{v}_{s,0}(\theta)\big(W_0A_0 + 2(W_1A_1 + W_2A_2)\big) \\ + \bar{v}_{s,1}(\theta)\big(W_0A_0 + 2(W_1A_1\cos(\tfrac{2\pi}{3}) + W_2A_2\cos(\tfrac{4\pi}{3}))\big) \\ + \bar{v}_{s,2}(\theta)\big(W_0A_0 + 2(W_1A_1\cos(\tfrac{4\pi}{3}) + W_2A_2\cos(\tfrac{8\pi}{3}))\big) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{v}_{s,0}(\theta)\big(W_0A_0 + 2W_1A_1 + 2W_2A_2\big) \\ + \bar{v}_{s,1}(\theta)\big(W_0A_0 - W_1A_1 - W_2A_2\big) \\ + \bar{v}_{s,2}(\theta)\big(W_0A_0 - W_1A_1 - W_2A_2\big) \end{bmatrix},$$

and similarly,

$$\bar{z}_{s,1}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{v}_{s,0}(\theta)\big(W_0A_0 - W_1A_1 - W_2A_2\big) \\ + \bar{v}_{s,1}(\theta)\big(W_0A_0 + 2W_1A_1 + 2W_2A_2\big) \\ + \bar{v}_{s,2}(\theta)\big(W_0A_0 - W_1A_1 - W_2A_2\big) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\bar{z}_{s,2}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{v}_{s,0}(\theta)\big(W_0A_0 - W_1A_1 - W_2A_2\big) \\ + \bar{v}_{s,1}(\theta)\big(W_0A_0 - W_1A_1 - W_2A_2\big) \\ + \bar{v}_{s,2}(\theta)\big(W_0A_0 + 2W_1A_1 + 2W_2A_2\big) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, if the amplitude modulation were known, and the separation window coefficients were set to $W_0 = 1/A_0$, $W_1 = 1/2A_1$, and $W_2 = 1/2A_2$, the separation would be complete. However, this is rarely practical in operational gearboxes, and so alternative window functions must be used. The two separation windows that have been found to perform well are those mentioned in the introduction, i.e.,

Equations 1 and 2, which are repeated here for convenience.

$$w_{power}(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\cos(2\pi f_c t)\right)^{P-1} , \text{ and } w_{sum}(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{P-1}\cos(2\pi m f_c t).$$

5   Applying the new sun gear averaging technique described in §2 to Equation 16, the modified sun gear average, $\bar{z}_{s,m}(\theta)$, can be expressed as

$$\bar{z}_{s,m}(\theta) = \sum_{p=0}^{P-1}\sum_{k=0}^{P-1}\bar{v}_{s,k}\left(\theta - \frac{2\pi p}{P}\right)\left[W_0 A_0 + 2\sum_{l=1}^{P-1}W_l A_l \cos\left(l(k-p)\frac{2\pi}{P}\right)\right],$$
(18)

whereby the delay, $2\pi p/P$, aligns the mean sun gear vibration with each planet, $\bar{v}_{s,k}(\theta)$, so that the beginning of each separated average starts with the same sun gear tooth in mesh with each planet.

      If the sun gear vibrations with each planet were identical, they would repeat with a period of $2\pi p/P$, i.e.,

$$v_{s,p}(\theta) = v_s\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi p}{P}\right),$$
(19)

where v_s is the meshing vibration of the sun gear with a planet. Substituting Equation 19 into Equation 18 results in

$$\bar{z}_{s,m}(\theta) = \bar{v}_s(\theta) \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} \sum_{k=0}^{P-1} \left[W_0 A_0 + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{P-1} W_l A_l \cos\left(l(k-p)\frac{2\pi}{P} \right) \right]$$
$$= P W_0 A_0 \bar{v}_s(\theta),$$

(20)

and the modified sun gear average would thus represent, to within a constant, the average vibration of the sun gear with a single planet. However, since the sun gear vibration will, in practice, not be identical with each planet, the modified average will only tend to average-out the differences between the meshing behaviour with each planet. Nevertheless, because the separated averages will be aligned at the same sun gear tooth, any localized sun gear defect will always appear at the same angular position, and thus be reinforced. This should lead to an improved ability to detect the fault compared to an ordinary sun gear average, where the influence of the defect will be more distributed.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Simple Industrial Gearbox

The new sun gear averaging technique was applied to two vibration data sets generated from an experimental rig with a small industrial Brevini EM1010-MN epicyclic gearbox (5). This gearbox has three planet gears with 32 teeth, a sun gear with 28 teeth, and a fixed ring gear with 95 teeth (see Figure 4.1). The sun gear was driven by a 40 kW electric motor through a belt drive, and the planet carrier was loaded by a water brake dynamometer through a second belt drive. The vibration was measured with an accelerometer mounted radially on a small steel block bonded to the outside of the ring gear, and a synchronising signal was obtained from a photocell on the input shaft. The nominal input rotational speed was 19 Hz. The rated power of the gearbox at this speed is 18 kW.

The first data set was measured with the gearbox in a no-fault (undamaged) condition. The second data set was measured with approximately 0.05 mm ground from the loaded face of one sun gear tooth to form a narrow flat surface at the pitch line. The gearbox was

operated at a power level of 12 kW in both cases (i.e., 67% of the rated power).

The sun gear averages were computed over 760 revolutions of the sun gear with respect to the carrier. The results for the no-fault case are presented in Figure 4.2 to Figure 4.5, and for the fault case in Figure 4.6 to Figure 4.9. These figures show the following:

a) Separated Sun Gear Averages: These are the averages obtained by applying the planet separation algorithm to the sun gear. Effectively, they are the averages of the sun gear seen through each individual planet gear. Note that the separated averages have been normalized by scale factors (PW_o) obtained from the separation windows (e.g., for $w_{sum}(t)$, the scale factor is 1.5).

b) Ordinary Sun Gear Averages: These are the averages computed without the planet separation algorithm.

c) Combined Sun Gear Averages: These are the averages obtained by combining the separated sun gear averages before

aligning the mesh points. Effectively, they show that the sum of the separated averages is equivalent to the ordinary average, thus confirming Equation 17.

d) <u>Modified Sun Gear Averages</u>: These are the sun gear averages obtained by combining the separated sun gear averages after aligning the mesh points.

e) <u>Residual Signals & Kurtosis Values</u>: The residual signal kurtosis is a recognized method of detecting a localized gear fault. Warning and danger limits for this condition index are normally set to 3.5 and 4.5 respectively. For this gearbox, the residual signals were obtained by removing the mesh harmonics (i.e., multiples of 28 shaft orders) and their first and second order sidebands. For the fault case, the arrows indicate the meshing points of the fault with each planet. The kurtosis (or normalized 4th statistical moment) values for these signals are printed on each plot, and tabulated in Table 1. Note that, due to

the dismantling of the gearbox and the lack of a planet carrier synchronization signal, the numbering of the separated sun gear averages may not be consistent

5   between the fault and no-fault cases. However, this is of no importance to the new sun gear averaging technique.

Table 1: Residual Signal Kurtosis Values

Separation Window	$w'_{power}(t)$		$w'_{sum}(t)$	
Average	No Fault	Fault	No Fault	Fault
First Separated Sun Gear Average	2.894	8.540	2.687	9.606
Second Separated Sun Gear Average	2.673	5.374	2.598	5.918
Third Separated Sun Gear Average	2.929	7.586	2.868	8.030
Ordinary Sun Gear Average	3.301	4.870	3.301	4.870
Combined Sun Gear Average	3.301	4.870	3.301	4.870
Modified Sun Gear Average	2.768	7.860	2.699	8.677

4.2   Complex Helicopter Gearbox

15   The new sun gear averaging technique was also applied to vibration data from a seeded-fault sun gear test in a SH-60B Seahawk main transmission. These data were obtained from the United States Naval Air Warfare Center (NAWC) through The Technical Cooperation Program

20   (TTCP). The test was conducted in the Helicopter Transmission Test Facility at the Naval Air Station at Patuxent River, Maryland, in January 2001. This facility has the capability to fully load the complete transmission including the intermediate and tail

gearboxes. Power is supplied by the aircraft engines, and absorbed by water brakes.

The SH-60 transmission has an epicyclic stage as the final reduction to the main rotor, as shown in Figures 4.10. The sun, five planets, and ring gear have, respectively, 62, 83, and 228 teeth. The seeded fault in this test was the removal of one third of a single sun gear tooth, as shown in Figure 4.11. The vibrations from the epicyclic stage were measured by the port-ring and starboard-ring accelerometers shown in Figure 4.12. A tachometer signal from a pick-up on the hydraulic drive gear in the starboard accessory gearbox was used as the reference signal for the synchronous averaging. The tachometer signal was nominally a sine wave with a frequency of 11 kHz at the normal operating speed. The ratio of the planet carrier (rotor shaft) rotational frequency to the tachometer frequency was: 3441/8816000. The vibration and tachometer signals were sampled at 50 kHz with a bandwidth of 20 kHz.

The new sun gear averaging technique was applied to the vibration data sets detailed in Table 2. The 100% dual-engine power for all versions of the Black Hawk and Seahawk helicopters is defined as 2828 shp

(2109 kW), which is equivalent to a torque of 355 lb ft.
(482 Nm) at the engine drive shaft speed of 20,900 rpm
(348.3 Hz). However, the improved durability gearbox
fitted to the Australian S-70A-9 and S-70B-2 variants,
and the US Navy SH-60B, actually has a continuous power
rating of 3400 shp, which is equivalent to a torque of
427 lb ft. (9).

The sun gear averages were computed over 684
revolutions of the sun gear with respect to the carrier.
This represented about 60 seconds of data. The
separation window functions are shown in Figure 4.13.
The results are presented in Figure 4.16 to Figure 4.27.
These figures show the following:

    a)   <u>Ordinary Sun Gear Averages</u>: These are the
           averages computed without the planet
           separation algorithm.

    b)   <u>Modified Sun Gear Averages</u>: These are the
           averages obtained by combining the
           separated sun gear averages after
           aligning the mesh points.

    c)   <u>Residual Signals & Kurtosis Values</u>: The
           residual signals for the Seahawk sun gear
           averages were obtained by removing the
           mesh harmonics (i.e, multiples of 62

shaft orders) and their first and second
order sidebands. A band between 75 and
110 shaft orders was also removed, as
shown in Figures 4.15, as this is the
location of a strong resonance. The
resonance frequency is slightly higher on
the port side than the starboard side,
but the band accommodates this
difference. The resonance is possibly in
the gearbox structure or in the
accelerometer mounting brackets.
Although the resonance occurs near the
tail take-off gear mesh frequency, it is
more likely to be excited by the impacts
generated by the fault, as these are
synchronous with the sun gear average
(and will thus not be averaged-out). The
kurtosis values of the residual signals
are printed on each plot, tabulated in
Table 3, and plotted in Figure 4.14,
where the horizontal dotted and dashed
lines indicate the warning and danger
limits respectively.

Table 3: Residual signal kurtosis values.

Torque (lb ft)	Port Ring Accelerometer			Starboard Ring Accelerometer		
	Ordinary	Modified		Ordinary	Modified	
		$b_{Power}(t)$	$b_{Sun}(t)$		$b_{Power}(t)$	$b_{Sun}(t)$
425	3.975	6.058 (+52%)	6.186 (+56%)	4.160	5.998 (+44%)	6.900 (+66%)
350	3.751	5.451 (+45%)	6.044 (+61%)	3.206	4.863 (+52%)	5.101 (+59%)
300	3.525	4.288 (+22%)	3.953 (+12%)	3.179	3.966 (+25%)	4.225 (+33%)
250	3.453	3.682 (+7%)	3.411 (-1%)	3.053	3.840 (+26%)	3.617 (+18%)
200	2.996	3.929 (+31%)	4.068 (+36%)	3.025	3.805 (+26%)	3.497 (+16%)
.165	2.923	3.343 (+14%)	3.090 (+6%)	3.081	3.298 (+7%)	3.148 (+2%)

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Simple Industrial Gearbox

The results show the following:

1. The fault is immediately apparent in each of the separated sun gear averages, however the impact is stronger in the first and third separated averages than in the second, as shown in Figures 4.6 and Figure 4.7. This indicates that there are differences in the meshing behaviour of the sun gear with each planet. These differences can be attributed to physical factors such as slight differences between the planets, gear misalignments, etc. As the new technique aligns and combines the sun/planet mesh points, this effectively averages out the differences between the planets, and produces an average of the sun gear vibration as seen through a single "average" planet.

30

2. The ordinary and combined sun gear averages are identical (to within numerical error). Thus, the separation algorithm is reversible, and is shown not to distort the vibration signal.

3. Ordinary synchronous averaging produces a sun gear average with three equally spaced fault impacts, while the new technique appears to produce an average with only one fault impact. This is most probably because there is good separation of the vibration from each planet, and the transducer senses the vibration from the mesh point with the planet through which the average is taken (i.e., the planet immediately adjacent to the transducer) much more strongly than the vibration from the more distant mesh points with the other planets. Note, that three impacts are visible in the ordinary average because it is an average taken through all the planets simultaneously, and the mesh points with each planet are distributed instead of being aligned.

4. The fault is more easily identified in the
    residual signals of the modified sun gear
    averages than the ordinary averages, as shown
    in Figure 4.8 and Figure 4.9, and Table 1.
    The residual kurtosis jumps from 4.870 to
    7.860 (+61%) using the power separation
    window, and from 4.870 to 8.677 (+78%) using
    the summation separation window. Slightly
    higher residual kurtosis values (8.540 and
    9.606, respectively) are present in the first
    separated sun gear averages for both window
    cases. However, the residual kurtosis values
    from the modified averages are considered more
    reliable because both window cases also have
    lower residual kurtosis values (5.374 and
    5.918 respectively) for two of the other
    separated averages. Another strong point in
    favour of the new technique is the fact that
    the fault is immediately identifiable in the
    modified average itself, not just in its
    residual signal. Thus, the new technique
    clearly improves the detection of the fault.

5.2 Complex Helicopter Gearbox

The results show the following:

1. The new modified sun gear averaging technique significantly improves the detection of the sun gear fault using the residual kurtosis method. The kurtosis levels are increased by up to 66%, as shown in Table 3. This is a similar increase to that obtained with the simple industrial gearbox.

2. The fault is detectable at lower torques with the new technique, as shown in Figures 4.14. The residual kurtosis levels of the modified averages exceed the warning limit of 3.5 for all engine torques at or above 200 lb ft., with the exception of one measurement (3.411 and 250 lb ft. for the port-ring accelerometer using the $b_{Sum}(t)$ window). In contrast, the residual kurtosis levels of the ordinary averages only exceed the warning limit at or above 300 lb ft. for the port accelerometer, and only at 425 lb ft. for the starboard accelerometer.

3. The residual kurtosis levels of the modified averages surpass the danger level of 4.5 at the higher torques of 350 lb ft. and 425 lb ft. for both accelerometers, while this level is never exceeded with the ordinary averages. Thus, the new technique assigns a larger and more appropriate condition index level to what is a severe fault.

4. Apart from the previously noted exception, the new technique produces similar results from both the port and starboard ring gear accelerometers, thereby allowing a more robust detection of the fault through cross-confirmation of one result with the other. In comparison, the results for the ordinary averages computed from the starboard ring accelerometer do not confirm the existence of the fault detected with the port ring accelerometer until the torque reaches the very high level of 425 lb ft. (120% torque). Thus it is arguable whether this severe fault would be detected at all using ordinary averaging if secondary confirmation is required.

5. Both separation window functions produce similar improvements to the detection of the fault, although the summation window, $W_{sum}(t)$, is perhaps slightly better at the higher torques.

6. In the modified averages where the fault is detectable, the averages exhibit five almost equally strong impacts per revolution of the sun gear. However, as a result of the separation algorithm, it might be expected that they should have only one impact, or that one should be significantly stronger than the rest, as was found in the simple industrial gearbox case. The results therefore indicate that perhaps there is weaker separation of the vibration from each planet gear in this case (due to the larger number of transmission paths in this gearbox, or the placement of the transducers in locations where the planet-pass modulation is weak), or that the severity of the fault is overcoming the differences in transmission path effects.

It was noted in §4.2 that the resonance in the sun gear averages between 75 and 110 shaft orders was

35

probably being excited by the impacts generated by the fault as it meshed with each of the five planets. Supporting evidence for this is shown in Figure 5.1, which displays the spectra of the sun gear averages from a Seahawk gearbox at the same torque (350 lb ft.) without a sun gear fault. While these are from a different gearbox, which will necessarily have slightly different vibration, it can clearly be seen that the amplitudes of the frequencies in the shaded resonance band are very low compared to those in Figure 4.15. It should also be noted that, while this gearbox did not have a sun gear fault, it did have a seeded planet gear fault (half a tooth removed form one planet), which would also have produced resonance-exciting impacts as it meshed with the sun and ring gears. However, the frequency of these impacts would have been non-synchronous with the relative sun gear rotation, and thus have been attenuated during the averaging process. It follows from this, that the amplitude of the vibration in the resonance band may therefore be a useful indicator of the presence of a sun gear fault. However, the seeded-fault in this test is very severe, and this method of sun gear fault detection may not be so effective with a natural incipient fault.

6. CONCLUSION

A new technique has been presented for the analysis of epicyclic sun gear vibration using a DSTO-developed planet separation algorithm. The technique has been shown to significantly improve the detection, using the residual signal kurtosis method, of an isolated (single tooth) sun gear fault in both a relatively simple industrial epicyclic gearbox, and a far more complex helicopter gearbox. In both cases the kurtosis levels were increased by up to 66%. This increase was of more consequence for the helicopter gearbox, whereby the kurtosis was improved from around the warning level of 3.5, and hence a marginal level of fault detection using ordinary synchronous averaging, to well over the danger level of 4.5 using the new technique. It also allowed the fault to be detected at lower torques, and improved the ability to use one ring-gear accelerometer signal to confirm the detection of the fault with another ring-gear accelerometer.

It is concluded that the new sun gear averaging technique can significantly improve the condition assessment of epicyclic gear trains using vibration analysis.

TABLE 2

Table 2: Vibration data sets.

Data File	Engine 1 Torque (lb ft)	Engine 2 Torque (lb ft)	Tail Torque (lb ft)	Length (seconds)
IMDSun.1.001	425	425	800	360
IMDSun.1.002	350	350	800	360
IMDSun.1.003	300	300	800	360
IMDSun.1.004	250	250	800	360
IMDSun.1.005	200	200	800	360
IMDSun.1.006	165	165	1450	360

REFERENCES

1. Forrester, B.D., "A Method for the Separation of Epicyclic Planet Gear Vibration Signatures", Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Vibratory Surveillance Methods and Diagnostic Techniques, Senlis, France, October 12th-15th, 1998.

2. Forrester, B.D., "Method and Apparatus for Performing Selective Signal Averaging", Australian Patent 672166 (40638/95).

3. Forrester, B.D., "A Method for the Separation of Epicyclic Planet Gear Vibration Signatures", United States Patent 6,298,725.

4. Howard, I.M., "An Investigation of Vibration Signal Averaging of Individual Components in an Epicyclic Gearbox", DSTO ARL Propulsion Report 185, ARL-PROP-R-185, AR-006-147, June 1991.

5. McFadden, P.D., and Howard, I.M., "The Detection of Seeded Faults in an Epicyclic Gearbox by Signal Averaging of the Vibration", DSTO ARL Propulsion Report 183, ARL-PROP-R-183, AR-006-087, October 1990.

6. Hardman, W., Hess, A., and Blunt, D., "USN Drivetrain Diagnostics and Prognostics Development Strategy and

Demonstration", COMADEM 2000 Conference and Exhibition, Houston, Texas, USA, December 3rd - 8th, 2000.

7. Forrester, B.D., "Advanced Vibration Analysis Techniques for Fault Detection and Diagnosis in Geared Transmission Systems", PhD Thesis, Swinburne University of Technology, February 1996.

8. McFadden, P.D., "A Model for the Extraction of Periodic Waveforms by Time Domain Averaging", Aero Propulsion Technical Memorandum 435, Department of Defence, Aeronautical Research Laboratory, March 1986.

9. Jackson, R.W., "Configuration and Other Differences Between Black Hawk and Seahawk Helicopters in Military Service in the USA and Australia", DSTO General Document 43, AR-008-386, December, 1993.